In 2012, 74% of Atherton voters approved a new civic center, provided 12 it was paid for by donated funds. The current council now wishes to 75 divert more than \$14M of unallocated taxpayer dollars. Is this the most 37 desirable course? 39

- Yes, the building department occupies temporary buildings and 47 our library is earthquake unsafe, but they can be replaced utilizing their own special funds which cannot be used 66 elsewhere.
- Yes, the police department needs improvements, but is the above answer a huge \$24M structure—more than \$10,000 per \$3 household with a large foyer, gym, copper gutters and \$4 potentially millions more needed once bids are received, plusy \$3 the cost of furnishings, increased upkeep, landscaping and more?
- Recent studies concluded Atherton needs \$36M for drainage 20 deficiencies, \$20M to make bike and pedestrian routes safe for 30 residents and school children. More than \$16M of health and 140 pension costs remain unfunded. Obviously, Atherton has 140 considerable debt and many financial obligations. Without tax 155 increases, how will these be funded?
- Why did the civic center committee raise so little over so many years? Having been conceived with the idea that it was to be privately funded, has this proposed complex become too 193 grandiose for our actual operational requirements?
- Atherton residents are successful, hardworking people who invest 207 and spend money wisely. If potential donors have seen an 217 extravagant town center as a poor investment for private funds, 277 why should taxpayer money—much of which has indirectly 126 become available due to parcel taxes levies—be used? 215
- This measure would essentially wipe out ALL uncommitted funds, anticipates the use of millions in future educational rebates from the state and assures that more special taxes will be required.
- Now is the time to consider a much smaller, combination 7 85 remodeled and new structure.

Vote NO! There is a better way! 296