

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form

A ballot argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word o	count limit for Primary Arguments = 300 words			
Ballot I	Measure for the <u>Presidential</u>	Primar/o be held on 3-3-2020		
-		gument Against		
	This argument is submitted			
	District	the Governing Body of a School District or Special		
	Name of Governing Body:			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
	Member(s) of the County of San Mateo Board of Su District or Special District	pervisors or the Governing Body of a School		
	Name of Governing Body:			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
G.	Bona Fide Association of Citizens If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association and be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf. Provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the association.			
	Name of Association: Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	MARK W. A. HINKLE			
	Phone:	Email:		
Individual Voters Eligible to Vote on the Measure				
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
П	Combination of Voters and Associations			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

No more than five signatures shall appear	ument Signers Form with any argument. If more than five signature	Auth	or Verifi
the author of the argument. A signer can of the signers are part of a bona fide associalistic to vote on the measure. However, the signer's name must list the name of the position within that association	a checkmark in the author column if the signer only list one title. ation, there is no requirement that they be for each such signing individual, the title under t bona fide association and may include their at they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant to the significant that they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the argument and believe it not be the significant they have read the significant the significant they have read the signifi	signer is the argument.	(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY) Staff check once the eligibility of the signer is verified.
Phone: Address:	Title: Silicon Valley President: Taxpayers Asso	24' 4 Ti : N	
Audress:	Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Date: 12-13-19 Title:		
Phone:	Email:		
Address: Signature: 3. Name:	Date:		
Phone:	Email;		
Address:	<u>.</u>		
Signature:	Date:		
4. Name:	Title:		
Address:	Email:		
ignature:	Date:		
Name:	Title:		
hone:	Email:		
	1		
ddress:			

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Argument Against Jefferson High School District \$23.39M Bond Issue: Measure J

How greedy can you get? 5 In 2014 voters approved \$133,000,000 in debt to "continue to renovate" and in 2006 they approved \$136,900,000 in additional debt to "renovate/upgrade facilities" and "upgrade technology". 13 Now they want another \$23,390,000 to do the same things all over again??? 10 Do schools really need upgrading every four to six years? Have they even spent the \$133,000,000 from the 2014 bond or the \$136,900,000 from 2006? If not, why are they asking for more of your hard-earned tax dollars? When bond debt measures like Measure J are put before the voters, they are admitting that everything they are currently spending your tax dollars on now, is more important than the projects for which this tax increase is being sought. Budgets set priorities. Jefferson High School District is saying every educational dollar spent today is going to a higher priority than to "repair...classrooms". Do you agree? The website: www.ed-data.org shows at least 4,869 students in the district, which means this bond expense is \$5,830 per student, on top of the \$13,305 per student expenses already in the budget. That budget is also above the statewide average. 13 Would you take out a 25-30-year loan to buy a personal computer? Nuts, right? But that's what the District did in 2014. They issued bond debt to purchase technology that is now obsolete. You deserved to know the full truth about measure J. If you value children's health and safety more than funding tax free shelters for bond funds and big banks, then vote NO on Measure J. If you value school maintenance more than making principal and interest payments for 25-30 years, vote NO on Measure J. Remember, financing school projects via bonds, routinely double the cost of the project. For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org

DEC 1 3 2019

DEDITY