

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

| Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Ballot I | Measure J for the Presidential P | rimary to be held on March 03, 2020 | | |
| Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure | | | | |
| Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument | | | | |
| If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form. | | | | |
| | Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument | | | |
| | Contact Person's Printed Name: | | | |
| 8 | Phone: | Email: | | |
| Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument | | | | |
| The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize <i>any other person or persons</i> to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s). | | | | |
| ✓ | Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s) | | | |
| | Contact Person's Printed Name: | | | |
| | Mark Hinkle | | | |
| | Phone: | Email: | | |
| (#) | | | | |

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

| misleading. Frint information dealty. | 700 185 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Name: SALVAdor RAMiner | Title: SMAIL BUSINESS OWNER | | | |
| Phone: | Email: | | | |
| Address: | PACIFICA Col 94044 | | | |
| Signat | PACIFICA Cd 94044 | | | |
| 2. Name: ALTOHSO HIDOWA | Daly City resident 53 | | | |
| Phone | Email: | | | |
| Add | | | | |
| Signature | Date: 12-16-19 | | | |
| 3. Name: | Title: | | | |
| Phone: | Email: | | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | | |
| 4. Name: | Title: | | | |
| Phone: | Email: | | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | | |
| 5. Name: | Title: | | | |
| Phone: | Email: | | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | | |



OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

| The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor | | | | | |
| of/against (circle one) Measure $__\mathcal{T}__$ for the Election to be held | | | | | |
| NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY): | | | | | |
| Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: <u>Salvador</u> Ro | amirez | | | | |
| Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Alsonso Hipov | 1 CI | | | | |
| Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: | | | | | |
| Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: | | | | | |
| Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: | | | | | |
| (The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form) | | | | | |
| NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S): | | | | | |
| MARK W.A. HINKLE Printed Name and Signature of Author | 12-21-19 Date | | | | |
| Printed Name and Signature of Author | Date | | | | |
| Printed Name and Signature of Author | Date | | | | |

Rebuttal Argument Jefferson High School District \$23.39M Bond Issue: Measure J

In 2014 voters approved \$133,000,000 in debt to "continue to renovate" and in 2006 they approved \$136,900,000 in additional debt to "renovate/upgrade facilities" and "upgrade technology".

Now they want another \$23,390,000 to do the same things all over again???

Do schools really need upgrading every four to six years?

The ballot argument in 2014 said they were going to "repair and replace leaky roofs" and now they're to "repair and replace roofs...". Do your roofs need replacing every 6 years?

If not, please vote NO on J.

How greedy can you get?

Budgets set priorities. Jefferson High School District is saying every educational dollar spent today is going to a higher priority than to "repair...classrooms" and "repair and replace roofs".

Do you agree?

Would you take out a 25-30-year loan to buy a personal computer? Nuts, right? But that's what the District did in 2014. They issued bond debt to purchase technology that is now obsolete.

You deserved to know the full truth about measure J.

If you value children's health and safety more than funding tax free shelters for bond funds and big banks, then vote NO on Measure J.


If you value school maintenance more than making principal and interest payments for 25-30 years, vote NO on Measure J.

Remember, financing school projects via bonds, routinely double the cost of the project, just like a home mortgage.

For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org



DEC 2 3 2019



231)

5

15

200

7