

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form

A ballot argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

the nan	ne of the association and the printed name and signatur	re of at least one of its principal officers.		
	ount limit for Primary Arguments = 300 words			
Ballot N	Measure B for the <u>Tpecial Elec</u>	Trow to be held on		
Prir	mary Argument in Favor of	ument Against		
	This argument is submitted			
	The County of San Mateo Board of Supervisors or the Governing Body of a School District or Special			
	Name of Governing Body:			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
Member(s) of the County of San Mateo Board of Supervisors or the Governing Body of a School				
	District or Special District			
	Name of Governing Body: Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
	Bona Fide Association of Citizens If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of ci	tizens, the signers of the argument must be affiliated		
	with the association and be authorized to sign the argu-	ument on its behalf. Provide the printed name and		
	signature of at least one principal officer of the association. Name of Association:			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
	Individual Voters Eligible to Vote on the Measure			
Contact Person's Printed Name:				
	Phone:	Email:		
	Combination of Veters and Appointing			
	Combination of Voters and Associations Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	MARK W. A. HINKLE			
	Phone:	Email		

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

	Primary Argumen	t Signers Form	Author	Verified
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. Place a checkmark in the author column if the signer is the author of the argument. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual, the title under the signer's name must list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.			Check if the signer is the author of the argument. Authors must be signers.	(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY) Staff check once the eligibility of the signer is verified.
1.	Name:	Title: PRESIDENT: SILICON	ГĄ	
Pho	MARK W.A. HINKLE	Valley Tax payers Association	_	
	dress:			
Au		MORGAN HILL, CA 95037		
Sig		Date: 8-12-21		
2.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Ado	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:		
3.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:		
4.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:		
5.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:	1	

Primar argument inst

Reistial Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

misleading. Print information clearly.	nave read the argument and believe it not to be false or		
1. Name:	Title: PRESIDENT: SILICON Valley		
MARK W.A. HINKLE	TAX PAYERS ASSOCIATION		
Phone:	Email:		
Address:			
MORGANHILL, CA 95037			
Signatur	Date:		
	8 - 12-21		
2. Name:	Title:		
- Xuefei Han	Suftware Developer		
Phone:	Email:		
Address			
\sim	enlo Park. (1.94025.		
Signature	Date:		
	8-12-21		
3. Name			
3 MARBUELLIE PAVS	WITHER BUSINESS OWNER		
Phone	Email: /		
	•		
Address	MENLY PARK CA. 94025		
	101111111111111111111111111111111111111		
	Date: 2-13-31		
1	3 13 24		
4. Name: V	Title:		
Phone:	Email:		
Address:			
Signature:	Date:		
<u> </u>			
5. Name:	Title:		
	Email:		
Phone:	- Intern		
Phone:			
Phone: Address:			
	Date:		

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer

Menlo Park City School District \$598 Parcel Tax: Argument Against Measure B

Harts

With this latest "temporary" parcel tax, the Menlo Park City School District claims they need a tax increase to continue providing "high quality educational programs".

The district wants to extend the 2017 "temporary" parcel tax (\$360) for another 12 years, and they want to add inflation adjustments so your taxes will rise every year for the next 12 years.

Have they earned this extension that will cost you over \$7,176 over the next 12 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

Let's look at latest academic performance:

2019-20 school year results: 15.93% below grade level for English.

2019-20 school year results: 17.49% below grade for math.

The District rewarded students, parents, and taxpayers for the passage of the 2017 parcel tax by dropping proficiency in English and miniscule 1.67% improvement in math!

Source: California Department of Education Data Partnership - www.ed-data.org

Should you, the voters, reward the district for declining academics?

If no, we encourage you to vote NO on Measure B.

This District is already spending \$19,990 per student per year, which is 148% ABOVE the statewide average (\$13,489), while providing average education. Yet, they want more of your hard-earned money to pad their salaries (averaging \$106,988) plus up to \$13,250 in benefits) and fat pension plans.

They claim no funds will be used for administration. But revenues increased over the last five years (\$12,215,610) can be used for administration expenses without limits.

They say this tax will raise \$4,600,000, but revenues are already up \$12,215,610 in the last 5 years. They clearly don't need the tax revenues.

Tell the Menlo Park City School Board to be fiscally responsible by voting **NO on Measure B.**

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!

For more information, please visit us at www.svtaxpayers.org

(ends

10 /21 /21 /35

16 51

16/71

6/86

10/96

9/105

13/18

5 134

10/15:

11/17

7/198

14 212

15 239

10 259

2/262

9/27

8/27

282