Don't be Fooled! Vote "NO" on F

The only difference between Measure F and the initiative being circulated by opponents of the Main Street Bridge Project is the highly slanted and divisive "findings" included in their measure. If approved it would have the exact same effect—requiring another time consuming and expensive election before any project can proceed to make the Main Street Bridge safer for cars, bikes and pedestrians. The City Council placed Measures E and F on the ballot to gauge public sentiment now, lot later. Opponents seek to manipulate public opinion with misleading, exaggerated and downright false information. Their obstruction and delay tactics have already added tens of thousands of dollars to the Project. Don't fall for their tactics. Vote "NO" on Measure F, and vote "NO" again if their measure qualifies for the November ballot.

Caltrans rates the Main Street Bridge a 24 on a safety scale of 1-100, because of its failing concrete, narrow width, and unsafe alignment. Project opponents don't want its serious design safety issues corrected. 88% of the current Project cost will come from federal grants. The Band-Aid approach favored by Opponents doesn't meet grant guidelines, will require the bridge's complete closure for substantial periods during construction, and could cost millions, in local tax dollars.

Let's use available grant funds to build a bridge that's safer for our children and loved ones. Don't saddle local taxpayers with opponents' Band-Aid Approach. Vote "NO" on Measure F! Let's fix the Main Street Bridge!

16

16

18

18

12

17

18



CITY CLERK - HMB

CITY OF HALF MOON BAY REBUTTAL ARGUMENT SUBMISSION FORM 2014 HAR 24 PH 3: 28

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person(s) to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signatures(s) of the person(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

of at least one of its principal officers.		
Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words Deadline for Receipt of Rebuttal Argument – March 24, 2014 at 5:00 pm		
REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE		
SIGNED BY EXACT SAME INDIVIDUAL(S) AS ARGUMENT ALREADY SELECTED FOR THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET		
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) singing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.		
☐ Rebuttal Argument is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the		
Voter Information Packet		
SUBMITTED BY DIFFERENT INDIVIDUAL(S) DIFFERENT FROM THE OPPOSING PRIMARY ARGUMENT		
If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted — including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals — you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization and signature.		
Contact Person: Phone Phone		
N		
Fux		
Date: 3/24/14		
Signat		
complete versame side of this form		

1. Name: SOHN NULLER	Title: MAYOR
F	
	Date: 3 24/14 Title: FORMER 4TIME 14A40R
ALBERT J ADREVENO	Title: FORKER 4TIME IMAYOR SENIOR CITIZEN
	Date: 3 - 24 - 14 Title: 0
3. Hame: HARI) KIRCHILIER	Title: FDA AISVIAIE
A	
\$	Date: 3(24) 14
David Leg	Title: Farmer
Ado	
Signatur	Date: 3/24/14
5. Name SAKT MAXON	Title: 866 Mannentas 400, 64 44614
Phone:	Email:
Signature:	Date: 3/24(/4
	·

With their signature, signers state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

PLEASE ATTACH A HARD COPY OF THE ARGUMENT TO THIS FORM