

#### OFFICE OF

## ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

#### **Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words							
Ballot N	MeasureRfor the _G & NEF	CA	to be held on				
	buttal to Argument in Favor of Measure						
Signe	ed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Vot	er In	formation Pamphlet for the Primary Argument				
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and <b>skip</b> the back side of this form.							
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument						
	Phone:		Email:				
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument							
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).							
W	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)						
	Contact Person's Printed Name:						
	MARK HINKLE						
	Phone:		Email:				
1		- 1					

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

#### Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE	Title: PRESIDENT; Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association Email:					
Phone	Email: 1433 occa (10)					
Address						
Address:	organ Hill, CA 95037					
Signatur	Date: 8/29/22					
67 Name: Christopher C Mindetti	Chair Pro Tem, of San Moteo					
Phone:	Email					
Address:	San Mateo, CA 94402					
Signature:	San Mateo, CA 94402 Date: August 29, 2022					
3. Name:	Title:					
Honor M Robson	Chair, Libertarian Party of California					
Phone:	Email:					
Address: Sacramento, CA 95814						
Signature:	Date:					
	August 22, 2022					
4. Name:	Title:					
Phone:	Email:					
Address:						
Signatura	Date:					
Signature:	Date.					
5. Name:	Title:					
Phone:	Email:					
Address:						
Signature:	Date:					



#### OFFICE OF

# ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

# Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor						
of/against (circle one) Measure <u>R</u> for the Election to be held on _	(date of election)					
NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):						
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Howar "Minsi" Robson						
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Chris Mino/eTTi						
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:						
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:						
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:						
(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)						
NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):						
MARK HINKIE	8-29-22					
Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date					
Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date					

### Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of La Honda-Pescadero \$15M Bond $\,$ – Measure R

### **TEXT STARTS HERE**

	-
Proponents listed five bullet points to push this bond debt. None of them mentions EDUCATION!	>1S
Wonder why?	2
LHPUSD is failing dismally at that primary mission.	દ
Reviewing its academic performance levels	5
• 57.06% of students tested below grade level in English;	9
• 68.82% tested below in math!	5
Source: Ed-Data.org	2
Those are 2018–19's results — the latest reported. Why nothing more recent?	12
Because, we suspect, they are even worse — owing to children's learning loss during shutdowns.	14
2020's \$130 x 7 years' parcel tax was supposed to "increase student achievement."	i3
Two years in, is it working?	6
Who knows? LHPUSD's figures aren't showing up.	7
Now, without progress reports, LHPUSD wants \$15 MILLION, to "provide the education that students deserve."	15
We think <u>children's education and safety</u> are so crucial they <u>should be secured in the annual budget</u> , not an emergency treating taxpayers like ATMs and saddling generations with debt.	729
This time, vote NO, because:	5
• Enrollment is declining steadily (down 11% since 2018–19).	9

TEXT ENDS HERE					
Learn more: www.SVTaxpayers.org	3				
You can be <u>for</u> schools, <u>for</u> students, <u>for</u> teachers — and <u>AGAINST</u> Measure R.	<i>(</i> 2				
Vote NO on Measure R.	4				
Don't reward failure, with your and your neighbors' hard-earned money.	11				
When enrollment shrinks, an experienced, capable school board should act. LHPUSD's homework: tighten the budget accordingly (just as families do!) and strategize how to restructure.	72S				
It is time to consolidate classrooms, retain the very best teachers, and save taxpayers money — not splurge on "modernization."					
• LHPUSD spends \$23,495/student annually, a whopping 151% of the statewide average. (How do other districts manage?!)	>17				

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 29 2022

