## Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of San Carlos School District Parcel Tax, Measure P

Since 2009, proponents knew the \$78 parcel tax wouldn't last forever, but while we've been busy  $1/\sqrt{2}$  trimming family budgets, what have they done to trim theirs?

Instead of tax relief we anticipated—from \$78 down to \$0—we now face a 74% increase! 154

When going back to basics is the sensible approach, proponents propose splurging by expanding "design, engineering, [and] music"

Proponents claim: "...our per-student State funding remains among the lowest in San Mateo County."

Really? \

Let's compare San Carlos School District's salaries and expenses with two comparably sized districts in our county: 7

Year 2012-13	San Carlos School District	Cabrillo Unified School District	Pacifica School District
Total Revenue/Student	\$9,517	\$9,132	\$7,950
Expenditures/Student ofCertificated Salaries	\$4,695	\$4,111	\$3,337
Classified Salaries	\$1,255	\$1,305	\$1,132
Other Local Revenue	\$2,530	\$1,171	\$1,036

(Source: Education Data Partnership, www.Ed-Data.K12.ca.us)

Both revenues and expenses exceed similar districts. (And, almost every salary; see "Argument Against.")

Let's compare: 💪

Students' STAR <sup>(1)</sup> test scores	San Carlos School District	Burlingame School District
English	81%	81%
Math	78%	77%
Science	88%	86%
History	79%	83%
Average:	81.5%	81.75%

In 2011-12,<sup>(2)</sup> Burlingame School District's and our students' levels nearly matched.

Who spent unwisely?

San Carlos School District spends over 12% more (\$1,157/year!) per student<sup>(3)</sup>—for virtually identical results.

Should they really expect a raise?  $\langle \rho \rangle$ 

Clearly, more money does not equal higher test scores or better education.

You can be for children, teachers, schools, and against steeper taxes.

Set an example of financial responsibility for our children: Vote NO on Measure P.

For information (e.g., how senior exemption requires applying yearly!):  $\bigcirc$  www.SVTaxpayers.org/2015-san-carlos-sd-parcel-tax

(1) (2) (3) See footnotes under "Argument Against." 5

750 mode





## **Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250				
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections	official conducting the election no later than			
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal Ballot Measure for the $\sqrt{5\rho \cdot e^{-c}}$	al argument is otherwise provided by law.			
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure			
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Alrea	ady Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet			
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument side of this form.	al(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the gument Submission Form, check the following box and complete			
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as A	rgument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet			
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing	Primary Argument			
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.				
Contact Person:	Phone:			
Mailing Address:				
Fay:	Email:			

Rebuttal Argument Signe	rs Form		they . applie	are signing. Ch es.	neck the <u>one</u> bo	x that
_	• • •	ith any argument. If more than five	cial	of ict,		
signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.  Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.		eo a Spe	District Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District,			
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing		San Mateo	ning B	, 50		
individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide		of Sar	Sover Ity, a S	rict iation ation		
association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.		Body	f the Coun	Il Disti Assoc		
By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe		Analis Body of County, a School Di	ict iber o Mateo	or a Special District Bona Fide Association of Cetzens/Organization	individual	
it not to be false or misleadi				Distri Mem San N	or a S Bona Citiza	Indiv
1. Mark W. A.	Hinkle	President: Taxpayers Email: Association	11ey			
Phone:		Email: AssociaTio	, N			
A						
Si		Date:				
Name:		Title: Secretary, Libertar	rian -			
2. Harland Ho	crr1504	Party of San Mateo Co	20+7 L			
		Email:				
		Participation				
	_	Date: 2/23/15				
3. Name:		Title: Riddent of Son Par	/.			100
M/1chae/ Sto	91101	<u> </u>	<u>/05 /                                     </u>	│	·	<b> </b>
Ph ////nae/ Stor	gner	Email:	<u>/05 ,                                   </u>	<del>     </del>	<u>                                 </u>	<b>L</b>
11/10/10e/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>/05 / </u>		l	, <b>L</b>
Ph Ac	gijer	Email:	<u>/05 ,                                   </u>	<b>                                 </b>	J	
Ph.	gver	Date: 2/23/15	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si	gver	Date: / /	/05 /			
Ph  Ac  Si  Name:	31)er	Date: 2/23/15	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Name:	g))er	Date: 2 / 2 3 / 15 Title:	/05 ,			
Ph Ac Si Phone:	91)er	Date: 2 / 2 3 / 15 Title:	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Ad	31) 61	Date: 2/23/15  Title: Email:	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Si Address:  Address:  Signature:	31) 81	Date: 2/23/15  Title:  Email:  Date:	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Address: Address: Signature:  5. Name:	31) 67	Date: 2/23/15  Title:  Date: 2/23/15  Title: Title:	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Si 4. Name: Address: Signature: 5. Name: Address:		Date: 2/23/15  Title:  Email:  Date: 2/23/15  Title: Email:	/05 /			
Ph Ac Si Ac Si A. Name: Address: Signature:  5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:		Date: 2/23/15  Title:  Email:  Date: Email:  Date: Email:				
Ph Ac Si Ac Si 4. Name: Address: Signature:  5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:	orm (this side o	Date: 2/23/15  Title: Email:  Date: Email: Date: Email: Date: Date		rm and th	ne argume	nt.
Ph Ac Si Ac Si 4. Name: Address: Signature:  5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:	orm (this side o	Date: 2/23/15  Title:  Email:  Date: Email:  Date: Email:		rm and th	l	nt.