

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

| Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 |
|--|
| The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than |
| These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure for the Memb Park Lity to be held on March 7, 2014. |
| Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure |
| Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet |
| If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form. |
| Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet |
| Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument |
| If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature. |
| Contact Person: Phone: |
| Mailing Address: |
| Fax: Email: |

| Rebuttal Argument Signers Form | | | | they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|------------|--|
| No more than five signal signatures are submitted Names and titles listed with the signers are part of individual(s), the title un association/organization association/organization by signing below, the unit not to be false or misles. | d, the first five listed so will be printed in the control of the signer's name and may include the dersigned state that the control of the signed state that the signed signed state that the signed | shall be printed. order that they are on/organization, fo e shall list the name ir position within the | listed below. r each such signing e of that bona fide nat | Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization | Individual | |
| 1. Rébetta Phor Addres | Bloom | Menlo Park, | + Compensation CA 94025 - 19,2016 | | | | V | |
| 2. SHERWIN Address: | CHEN V | Date: 12/20 | - Andit comm. wiew 94025 | o.(| | | | |
| 3. Name: | a Kogler Ather | ton CA 94 Date: 12-20-11 | 027 | | | | | |
| 4. Name: Coffrey Addre | Child | Jenlo Pade (| CA 94025 | | | | | |
| 5. Name: Victor V | luchic Me. | Title: Education 10 Park, C Date: 2/22/16 | Researcher A 94025 | | | | V | |
| Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument. | | | | | | | | |
| Signore | Registered | FOR OFFICIAL U | SE ONLY Signed | D | ted | | | |
| Signers Bona Fide Association | ☐ Verified | N/A | Signed | | ted | | | |



Authorization Form Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9167,

\$9317, \$9504 The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure ______ for the election to be held on MAVCM 7, 2017 (date of election) 1. **NEW SIGNER(S):** Kebecca Bloom Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _ 11. **NEW PREPARER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ______ Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: III. **NEW SUBMITTER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Menlo Park schools face serious financial challenges. Critical funding from an existing, voter-approved parcel tax will expire in June. Without Measure X our District's annual budget deficit will grow to \$5 million in five years.

Our schools need Measure X to prevent teacher layoffs; maintain reasonable class / $\geq \sim$ sizes; and preserve our science, art, and library programs.

Some argue that California spends too much on education. In fact, California is 13 ranked #35 nationwide in spending per student. Given state school funding allocations, communities like ours depend on parcel taxes to supplement school budgets. Our local parcel taxes represent 15% of MPCSD's funding.

Even with these taxes, MPCSD spends less per pupil than comparably highperforming districts nearby. Nationwide, well-funded affluent districts spend up to 9
twice our amount per student.

This plan is the result of an exhaustive, months-long public dialogue and budget /3 veriew. Over 2,500 members of the public participated.

Our community has always supported education. Thriving local schools make our // neighborhoods stronger, more vibrant and connected. We must continue to invest in / 2 / our young minds, maintain our property values, and ensure our community's future.

Vote YES on Measure X to renew our commitment to Encinal School, Laurel School, Oak Knoll School, and Hillview Middle School.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

234 Word

DEC 23 2016

By: BEPUTT GLERK