

AUG 1 2 2015

SIGNATURE FORM FOR PROPONENTS ▶PRIMARY ARGUMENT ◄

City of San Carlos City Clerk's Department

EC 9600: "All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to this division shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument:"

	or(s) of the ballot argument \sqcup <u>in favor of</u> or
against ballot measure Black M.	ountain Park Measure at the General
Municipal Election for the City of San	Carlos to be held on November 3, 2015, hereby state,
that such argument is true and correct	to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief:
	•
Signed:	Date: August 11, 2015
	0 '
Printed Name: Konda Scott	
(Name will be print	ed exactly as written on this line)
Full Title: Ranidant	
(Title will be printed	d exactly as written on this line - limit 25 words)
Residence Addı	
Phone Number:	

- For any argument filed by the City Council, the proponent of an initiative, or an individual voter, all signors must be eligible to vote on the measure (i.e. San Carlos resident, at least 18 years old, U.S. Citizen, and not incarcerated or on parole for the conviction of a felony).
- For any signor on a city ballot measure, a consent form is required for the use of any organization name in the signor's title(s). The organization must consent to the use of its name for all signors.
- > Offices held with public agencies (including city boards and commissions) do not require a consent form from the jurisdiction.
- If using more than one organization name in the full title(s), a separate consent form is required for each organization

Primary Argume	ent Signers Form				capacity	ier must di They are si that applie	gning. Ch		
No more than five	e signatures shall appear with st five listed shall be printed.	any argument. If	more than five signa	tures are	20.2 (57.	1			
I .	listed will be printed in the or	der that they are	listed below.		arteo	g Bod		on the	
If the signers are	part of a bona fide association	n/organization, th	ere is no requiremen	t that	an Mar trict, o	Vernir	ءَ ۔	eligible to vote	
they be eligible to under the signer's	vote on the measure. Howe a name shall list the name of t	ver, for each such	signing Individual(s),	, the title	dy of S.	tte Go unty, a	clatio	gible	
may include their	position within that associati	on/organization.			ing Bor a Scho Distric	r(s) of reo Co	de Asso /Organ	al(s) e	
By signing below, to be false or misl	the undersigned state that the eading.	ney have read the	argument and believ	e it not	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member(s) of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	individual(s) e measure	
1. Name:	KOEFOED	Title:	544 CARLOS	0				X]
Phone	<u> </u>	for y K.	JAN LARLOS	IVER I DE	4				
Ad									./
Sig									\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
2									
2. BoB	FARKAS	30 YR	SAN CARLOE A	6518c				X	
P								-	1/
â									V
Si				-					
wanye:		i inte:							
3. PRIY	A JOSEPH	SAN CAR	LOS REST DE	ENT				X	
Phone:		Emali:							
Ac									
SI ₄				-				1	
A Name:		Inde:							
4. Bats	y Koctord	40 gr. SAW	CARLOS RESID	ENT				\mathbf{X}	
							1		1/
^]	1	
S							1		
5. Napre:		Title:	 		\rightarrow				
Phone:	FARRELL	24 YZ R	residen <u>i</u>					N N	1
		Lingili							1/
Addr					ļ		i		V
Signa						RE	CE	V E	ED
Submit a s	econd form (this side only) for alternate si	gners attached to t	his form					
		FOR OFFICIAL US	E ONLY			argua	1311-1 7	ZUIS	
Signers Bona Fide Association	☐ Registered ☐ Verified	n/a n/a	Signed Signed		ated ated		y of Sai		
		*	-			City C	lerk's [)eoartm	rent

Argument against Measure V RECEIVED

NO ON BLACK MOUNTAIN PARK MEASURE	AUG 1 2 2015
Parks and open space are a vital part of what makes San Carlos enjoyable. Ma	any of us support
长the city using our tax dollars to maintain and improve our current parks. We mi	ght evencsylapeth Carlos
/ spending a reasonable amount to acquire park land in the future.	City Clerk's Department
But, we cannot and do not support the Black Mountain deal. Here's why:	
S No purchase price, no sale!	
/SAccording to city's own Tax Rate Statement, this bond will cost 86 MILLION D	OLLARS over
the next 30 years for the purchase of the property with no signed agreement.	
/ measure writes a blank check to the developers selling the Black Mountain pro	
1OThere's no plan for the property, only empty promises.	
You may vote for open space and hiking trails, but could very well end up with	
$-is$ center and paved parking lots. Who knows? The city is asking us to fork over ${f r}$	nillions of dollars
/ with no idea what it's for or when that'll be decided.	
This bond measure adds hundreds of dollars more a year in residential properly	v tex on top of
12 the thousands we already pay and there is no exemption for seniors.	y tax on top of
to the the should be should pay and there is no exclusive and the section of	
Current San Carlos parks go unmaintained and underutilized.	
Our city already has 15 parks on 143 acres, easy access to dozens of county p	oarks, adjacent
🏒 🥻 city parks and private open space. The city can't even maintain the hiking trails	and open space
parks we already have, why spend \$86 MILLION on another?	
10	
The Black Mountain deal is half-baked and will raise our taxes for decades to d	ome. It does not
deserve our votes or our tax dollars.	
/ Ship plan on price NO DEAL Condition measure head to Otto Hall with a first	- 10
/ No plan, no price, NO DEAL. Send this measure back to City Hall with a firm	I NU.

AUG 1 3 2015