



Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form

AUG 1 8 2017

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE CITY OF BURLINGAME

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Primary Arguments = 300 **Ballot Measure** for the Primary Argument Against Primary Argument in Favor of This argument is submitted by: (check all that apply) The Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame If this argument is filed by the legislative body of the City of Burlingame, fill in the name of the governing body on the line below and complete both sides of this form. Legislative Body: Contact Person's Printed Name: Contact Person's Signature: Title: Phone: Email: Member(s) of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame If this argument is filed by any member(s) of the legislative body, fill in the information below and complete both sides of this form. Member(s) of the Legislative Body: Name of Legislative Body: Contact Person's Printed Name: Contact Person's Signature: Title: Phone: Email: Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization X If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association/organization, be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf, provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the organization, and complete both sides of this form. Name of Association/Organization: Contact Person's Printed Name: Email Phone: Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure Individual signers must be eligible to vote on the measure. Contact Person: Phone: Mailing Address: Fax: Email:

Primary Argument Signers Form				Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.						Body		a
	V.	rder that they are listed below.			of			on th
If the signers are part of				t that	e City	gislat	of	vote
they be eligible to vote o	n the measure. How	ever, for each such	signing individual(s)	the title	of th	the Le	iation	ible to
under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.				Body	of t of Bu	Assoc	s) elig	
By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not				Legislative Body of the City Burlingame	Member(s) of the Legislative of the City of Burlingame	Bona Fide Association Citizens/Organization	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure	
to be false or misleading. Title:					Leg Bu	₽ P	Cit	ne me
1. MARK W.A.	HINKLE	Presido	enT				J	
Phone	7 / / /	Email:						
Address:			/ /	0	-			
		Morga	en Hill, cA9	5037				
Sign		Daté:	1,-					
2. Harland Ha	a DAISAY	Title: Lyain	Libertani	a 12 1				
Phone:		Panty o	1 Son Mater	o County				Ш
_Address: , / /				Un				
			selmost C	H				
Signature		Date: 8 ~ / 8	~-20/7					
3. Name:		Title:					П	П
Phone:		Email:						
Address:								
Signature: Date:								
Name	-		MINISTER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA					
4. Name:		Title:						
Phone:		Email:						
Address:								
Signature:		Date:						
Signature.		Date.						
5. Name:		Title:						
Phone:		Email:						
Address:								
Signature:		Date:						
	12 722 88							
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY								
Signers	Registered	N/A	Signed		Dated			
Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Dated			

RECEIVED

Received 8/18/17 2:40 pm

AUG 1 8 2017

Argument Against Burlingame Sales Tax Increase: Measure 7 CITY OF BURLINGAME

The Burlingame City Council in their resolution authorizing this proposed sales tax increase notes that Burlingame has "over \$100 million in unmet infrastructure needs for projects...."

They also project that this sales tax increase is going to bring in "\$2,000,000 annually".

In other words, this sales tax increase will take 50 years to finish the projects they say are a priority for Burlingame. Really, 50 years!!!

But, if all of these projects are truly a Burlingame City Council priority, why are they **NOT in the current budget**?

Budgets are how governments sets spending priorities.

Clearly "pot hole repair" and "maintaining city streets" are not priorities according to their current budget. Nor is "enhancing neighborhood police patrols/crime prevention programs".

Really??? Do you agree???

When governments put tax increases on the ballot, they are indirectly saying everything in our current budget is MORE important than the projects supposedly to be funded by this sales tax increase.

Furthermore, another sales tax increase will not only drive more purchases to Internet businesses, such as Amazon, where sales taxes are not collected at all and away from local businesses, further hurting the local economy, but it will also hurt those on fixed incomes the hardest, i.e. the poor, the elderly, the disabled, and those on fixed incomes.

Sales taxes are regressive taxes that hurt the poor the most.

Our City government clearly has a spending problem. Giving them more revenue will not fix that problem. We taxpayers can't live beyond our means and neither should our government.

Tell them to be responsible by voting NO on Measure $\underline{\mathcal{I}}$.

Vote NO on the Burlingame Sales Tax increase.

For more information: www.svtaxpayers.org/burlingamesalestax