## RECEIVED

AUG 2 8 2017

#### **Authorization Form**

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE CITY OF BU

### Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Argument

#### PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC § 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure \_\_\_\_\_ for the election to be held on **November 7, 2017.** 

I.	NEW SIGNER(S):		- AC N				
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _	Brian	Beswick				
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _	John ?	Saucedo				
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _	Ross T	Bruce				
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _	Jennife	x Pfaff				
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _	MANY	Hunt				
II.	NEW PREPARER(S):						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer	:					
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer	·;					
III.	NEW SUBMITTER(S):						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitte	er:					
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitte	er:					
NAME(S)	MAME(S) AND/SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):						
			8 28 17				
Printed N	ame and Signature of Author	to to the	Date )				
50	net Martin		8/28/7				
Printed N	ame and Signature of Author		Date				

## RECEIVED



AUG 2 8 2017

# Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Formerk's OFFICE

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.							
Ballot Measure	Rungameto be held on November 7, 2017						
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure						
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Alre	ady Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet						
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.							
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet							
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing	Primary Argument						
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.							
Contact Person: Janet Martin	Phone:						
Mailing Address:							
Fax:	Email:						

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

	Rebuttal Argument Signers Form				Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.				
	No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.					J.			
	Names and titles listed wi		25 201 - 201 - 201	ed below	of	Body c			
	If the signers are part of a	382			e City	ative f	of		
	individual(s), the title und				of th	Legisl	iation		
	association/organization a association/organization.	and may include their	position within that		e Body ne	of the of Burli	: Assoc	_	
	By signing below, the und it not to be false or misles		ey have read the arg	gument and believe	Legislative Body of the City Burlingame	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame	Bona Fide Association Citizens/Organization	Individua	
	1. Brian Be	Surich	Burunga	me Businessi	nan			X	
(F)	Phene								
9	Address:								
	Signature:	Da	8/27/17	VIII.					
		4							
	3. Name:	P	ile:	b . 10				N	
	3. Rolls C-		BUT MYGAM	e Business Owner				X	
(3)				,					
	Address:								
	Signature:	Da	8 - 2 s	3-17					
	4. Jennifer Ea	ston-PFaff E	le: Burlingame (	Community	Jolus	sker		X	
	Phone:		nail:	//					
(2)									
9		Da	ite:	• 00000					
		Tit	8-23-2						
	5 MARY L. H.	TUNT D	le: SEAUTIFICAT	Tan Comm. (	Comp			X	
	Phone:					1192101	ier)		
(4)	Ad								
0									
		Da	825-2017						
'	Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.								
	Signers	Registered	FOR OFFICIAL USE	ONLY Signed	Da	ted			
	Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed	Da	ted			

Reb	Rebuttal Argument Signers Form					Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that				
No	more than five signature	s shall appear with	any argument. I	f more than five	applies.					
sign	atures are submitted, th	e first five listed sh	all be printed.			y of				
Nan	Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.				y of	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame				
	If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing				Legislative Body of the City of	lative	ا م ر			
	vidual(s), the title under				of th	Legis	iation			
	ociation/organization and	d may include their	position within	that	Body	the Burli	Assoc			
	association/organization.					er of y of	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	nal		
	By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.					Jemb ne Cit	ona F itizer	Individual		
	N	T =:-	le: PA to	MULLIA FIR	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	2 =	8 0	=		
1.	Luan Sau	icedo "		ox param				X		
Phon			MOLEN THE STATE	en pour	<u>w</u> uc					
	_									
		Da	te: 8/28/	2017						
	Name:		le:	0011						
2.										
Phon	e:	En	nail:							
Addre	ess:									
<u></u>	8	Ta								
Signa	ture:	Da	te:							
3.	Name:	Tit	le:							
э.										
Phon	e:	En	nail:							
Addre	ess:									
Signa	ture:	Da	te:							
					******					
4.	Name:	Tit	le:							
Phon	e:	En	nail:		-					
	·	1								
Addre	ess:	I								
				***************************************						
Signa	ture:	Da	te:							
-	Name:	Tit	le:					8		
5.										
Phon	e:	En	nail:							
						-		e:		
Addre	ess:									
Signa	ture:	Da	te:							
S-611a	retat	J. J.								
	Submit a second fo	rm (this side only	) for alternate	signers attached to	o this form a	nd the a	gument			
			FOR OFFICIAL				J			
Signe	ers	Registered	N/A	Signed	Da	ted				
Bona	Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed	Da	ted				

#### Rebuttal to the Argument Against Measure I

The authors of the argument against Measure I are simply not qualified to address the issues 15 facing our City. They do not live in Burlingame and have opposed virtually every local funding 16 measure in San Mateo County over the last decade.

As business leaders, public safety experts and longtime Burlingame residents, we know that Measure I is the smart choice for a stronger, safer Burlingame.

Measure I is the fiscally responsible solution to fix our roads. Rather than waiting for costs to 16 escalate as our roads deteriorate further, we need Measure I now to maintain our city streets 15 and sidewalks, and repair potholes. 5

Strict accountability provisions ensure that the state and federal governments can't touch 12.

Measure I. Every penny of Measure I must support essential city services like neighborhood 12.

police patrols, pothole repair and parks and recreation programs for youth, teens and seniors. 14.

Independent citizens' oversight, mandatory financial audits and yearly reports to the 11.

community will ensure Measure I supports critical Burlingame services, and never city 11.

administrator salaries. 74.

Groceries, medicine and other essentials are exempt from Measure I. Additionally, Measure I I makes certain that Burlingame's visitors pay their fair share for their impact on our roads. IS

Safe neighborhoods, strong schools and beautiful parks are integral to our strong quality of life here in Burlingame. Voting Yes on Measure I will enhance neighborhood police patrols, 13 improve safe routes to school and maintain city streets and parks, keeping Burlingame safe and 15 desirable.

Let's not be misled by two out-of-town, out-of-touch voices. Please join us — vote Yes on Measure I.

www.BurlingameYesonI.org 1

RECEIVED

AUG 2 8 2017

CITY

249 wonds